

|   |  |                                    |
|---|--|------------------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/079,741       | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>KWOK ET AL. |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Sathyaranarayan Pannala | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2164            |

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Sathyaranarayan Pannala.  
 (2) James P Janniello, Reg. No. 54,197.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 June 2009**Time:** 2:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

36-37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyaranarayan Pannala/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative to discussed the possible rejection of claims 36-37 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and suggested how to overcome the rejection. Applicant agreed in consultation with client and authorized for an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the prosecution.